

### Chapter highlights

- **Purpose:** This chapter covers policies related to sponsoring and using cooperative procurements, including GSA Schedule 70 contracts, for the procurement of information technology (IT) goods and services.
- **Key points:**
  - The cooperative procurement is formed when multiple parties identify a common requirement suitable for a cooperative procurement arrangement and sign a written agreement to cooperatively procure.
  - The CIO must approve all cooperative procurement arrangements and all purchases from cooperatively procured contracts, including GSA Schedule 70 contracts, regardless of the amount of the IT purchase.
  - Cooperatively procured contracts typically should not be used for contracts involving intellectual property rights (e.g., software, purchases, custom systems development) or contracts that include service level agreements.

### Table of contents

20.0	Introduction
20.1	Purchases from cooperative procurements (non-GSA Schedule 70)
20.1.1	Characteristics of good cooperative procurements
20.1.2	Benefits of cooperative procurement arrangements
20.1.3	Before using a cooperative contract
20.1.4	Challenges in using or establishing a cooperative contract
20.1.5	Types of cooperative procurements
20.1.6	Before issuing the cooperative solicitation
20.1.7	Sourcing and issuing the solicitation
20.1.8	Evaluating and negotiating offers
20.1.9	Contract award and administration
20.1.10	Documentation for the procurement file
20.2	Purchases from federal GSA Purchasing Schedule 70 (technology)
20.2.1	Background and description of GSA Schedule 70
20.2.2	Benefits of purchasing from GSA Schedule 70
20.2.3	Challenges of purchasing from GSA Schedule 70
20.2.4	Contractual terms and conditions
20.2.5	Voluntary use of schedules by suppliers
20.2.6	GSA supplier performance
20.2.7	Ordering from GSA Schedule 70
20.2.8	When ordering IT goods utilizing GSA Schedule 70
20.2.9	Procurement file documentation
Appendix A	Cooperative procurement quick facts
Appendix B	Steps for ordering IT services utilizing GSA Schedule 70
Appendix C	IT cooperative procurement approval request form

## 20.0 Introduction

The Virginia Public Procurement Act (VPPA) addresses cooperative procurements in [§2.2-4304](#). Cooperative procurement contracts can provide convenient vehicles for agencies to buy IT goods and services. Instead of seeking quotes, bids or proposals, public bodies simply select products and services from the cooperative contract catalog, saving considerable time and effort. Agencies can also be assured that the contract was conducted in accordance with the sponsoring state's or locality's laws or regulations. Most cooperative procurement arrangements utilize rigorous standards when establishing contracts. Cooperative procurement arrangements can save significant time and money in obtaining an information technology (IT) product or service and may result in lower pricing through the power of aggregation. Cooperative procurements can also help realize supplier diversity initiatives. Ultimately, citizens benefit from cooperative procurement through lower total cost of government, better application of resources and more efficient government operations.

Cooperative purchasing also allows for the Administrator of General Services to provide states and localities access to certain items offered through the General Services Administration's (GSA's), [Federal Supply Schedule 70](#), Information Technology (IT), and [Consolidated Schedule](#) contracts, containing IT special item numbers (SINs). The information technology (IT) available to state and local governments includes automated data processing equipment (including firmware), software, supplies, support equipment, and services.

Agencies and institutions must request CIO approval—

- To sponsor, conduct or administer a cooperative procurement arrangement for IT goods and services regardless of the amount of the resulting contract.
- To purchase from GSA Schedule 70 regardless of the amount of the planned purchase.

## 20.1 Purchases from cooperative procurements (non-GSA Schedule 70)

### [20.1.1 Characteristics of good cooperative procurements](#)

Some IT commodities and services have certain characteristics that make them more suitable for cooperative purchasing arrangements than others. Commodities that are purchased in large volume and/or are routinely purchased may be purchased successfully from a cooperative contract. Most cooperative purchasing efforts involve bulk commodities with standard specifications. (i.e. standard desktop computers) Wide geographic availability and adequate distribution channels are important for the contract to appeal to and a larger group of purchasers. The use of local suppliers to provide support may be utilized to make a cooperative contract more convenient and provides business opportunities for local suppliers. Multiple purchasers and common use between agencies and institutions will contribute to wider contract usage and drive deeper pricing discounts.

### [20.1.2 Benefits of cooperative procurement arrangements](#)

Cooperative IT procurement arrangements provide many benefits including significant savings as volume purchasing lowers pricing, reduces the need for specification development, and provides convenience and flexibility, as well as providing public bodies' access to IT contracts with qualified suppliers and proven products. By standardizing IT products and services and aggregating requirements, public bodies can benefit from the combined economies of scale achieved when partnering with multiple government organizations. By joining together and using specialized specification writers, procurement professionals and technical evaluation committee members, governments may be able to

produce better contracts for higher quality products and services. Smaller public bodies benefit from the combined resources of larger government agencies and from the market share leveraged by larger government consumers. In a cooperative IT procurement arrangement, agencies and institutions may have the ability to use/share procurement professionals from another entity to establish a needed IT contract. In addition, with one procurement process and one contract serving multiple governments, cooperative contracts can reduce administrative costs because the preliminary work has already been done. Administrative efforts and costs are spread across multiple governments.

### 20.1.3 Before using a cooperative contract

A prudent buyer will perform the following actions before utilizing a cooperative IT contract:

- Review the cooperative IT contract for conformance with state or local procurement laws and best practices.
- Analyze the product or service specifications, price, terms and conditions and other factors to ensure that the cooperative IT contract produces best value.
- Contact the cooperative lead agency, institution or public body to verify contract application and eligibility.
- Compare contracts if there are multiple contracts available for the required IT product or service.
- When buying large quantities, verify whether the contract permits negotiation of additional price concessions.
- If a purchase agreement or sign-up agreement is required, confer with an IT procurement professional, VITA or your agency's or institution's legal counsel to determine whether the agreement is acceptable.

### 20.1.4 Challenges in using or establishing a cooperative contract

All government purchasing organizations operate under some form of procurement code intended to achieve best value for its citizens, protect against fraud and abuse, and ensure fairness, equity and transparency and to maintain public trust. However, there may be differences that impact your agency's ability to use or participate in creating a cooperative IT contract. This list offers some examples:

- **Legal compliance:** Although most procurement laws are similar, there may be subtle or large differences in government procurement codes. Some governments require strict compliance with their own procurement laws when using cooperative contracts awarded by other governments. Communication and participation in the procurement process by cooperative members will help the cooperative achieve universal compliance.
- **Buy local laws:** Many jurisdictions have laws that favor or give preference to local suppliers. These laws may interfere with the ability of a public body to develop and award a cooperative contract or may prevent agencies from using a cooperative contract.
- **Open competition:** Many government procurement programs maintain lists of suppliers who register to compete for contracting opportunities and are required to post public advertisements for invitations for bids or proposals. Notifying local suppliers of the cooperative IT contract solicitation and advertising the solicitation in local publications will ensure that local suppliers have an opportunity to compete for the cooperative IT contract.
- **Small business participation:** Some small businesses may be able to handle business for one state or local jurisdiction but may not be able to handle the combined requirements or needs of multiple governments. Encouraging local delivery and service networks and utilization of small business subcontractors will provide opportunities for small businesses to continue to serve cooperative members.

- **Forms and terms:** With the exception of federal statutory regulations, most state and local governments use unique procurement contract terms and conditions, therefore, a cooperative IT contract awarded by one jurisdiction may not conform to the terms and conditions of another. There are several methods to address contractual differences, including development of standard terms and conditions for cooperative members, inclusion of all government contract variations in the solicitation and negotiation of participation agreements between the government and supplier. Differences in state or local requirements can be addressed in a contract addendum; as long as the sponsoring agency or institution agrees up front in the solicitation that participants may have their own terms and conditions addressed in it.
- **Attention to pricing:** Although most cooperative contracts generate considerable cost savings for governments, not all cooperative contracts achieve best value. Suppliers may offer higher prices because many of the cooperative members are small or located in remote areas. If contract usage estimates are inaccurate, price may be based on much lower than actual usage. Pricing is much more likely to be unfavorable in piggyback contracts because usage is difficult to estimate beforehand. In addition, the supplier may price the contract high because of high administrative costs associated with the cooperative agreement.
- **Time and resources:** It takes more time and effort to award a contract that serves multiple governments, agencies and institutions than it does for a contract that serves one government. In theory, cooperative IT contract time and resource investments are more than recovered by using cooperative IT contracts awarded by other public bodies. Time and resource requirements can also be reduced by using volunteers from other governments to assist with the procurement, draft specifications or participate in the evaluation process.
- **Watch piggybacking:** Since "piggyback" contracts are not based on aggregated volume, agencies "piggybacking" on another entity's contract may not benefit from true economies of scale. Piggybacking off of another public entity's contract does not always produce best value. In some cases, entities may piggyback off of an existing cooperative but fail to notify the lead state or complete a participating addendum to the contract. This can result in undocumented contract activity and volume and impact volume discounts.
- **Fees:** Many cooperative purchasing programs assess usage and access fees to other governmental entities to use their cooperative contracts. Fees range from one time or annual enrollment fees to transaction fees ranging from less than 1% to 2% of the value of every purchase. These fees may be collected directly by the cooperative or from the supplier.

#### 20.1.5 Types of cooperative procurements

The cooperative IT procurement is formed when multiple parties identify a common technology requirement suitable for a cooperative procurement arrangement and sign a written agreement to cooperatively procure. The lead agency, institution or government solicits proposals and awards the cooperative IT contract. The contract is then available for use by all signature parties and other public bodies if the solicitation provided for use by other public bodies. The participating entities may sign an agreement or a "participating addendum" in the specific contract. The participating addendum may be necessary to include the user's statutory requirements in its agreement with the supplier and for the lead entity to administer effectively. Cooperatives may be comprised of similar or varied governments with common requirements such as a state procurement cooperative that serves multiple agencies, institutions and local governments. Cooperatives may be formed by a consortium of governments sharing similar requirements or state and local governments participating in federal contracts through the E-Government Act or the §1122

program (see a good cooperative example at: [Virginia State Police](#)). There are three types of cooperative procurement arrangements that can be used for IT.

Type	Description
True (or "pure")	Where two or more organizations combine their requirements and solicit bids or offers. This type of cooperative is based on statutory or regulatory authority. The relationship between the issuing agency and the contract users is based on this legal agreement or authority. Contract users are bound by the issuing agency's terms and conditions, unless they take exception in a separate document.
Piggyback	Where statutory authority permits a governmental entity to use "any contract issued by any other governmental entity." The key to a piggyback cooperative is that the contract is issued by a single entity (usually without any other participation). There is relationship between the contract users and the supplier or the entity that established the contract. The contract will include an option for other organizations to "ride," "bridge" or "piggyback" the contract as awarded, even if they did not participate in the original solicitation. It is important to remember in a piggyback situation, that any relationship between the supplier and a user should be based on a separate contract, not the piggyback contract, since there is no other legal relationship involved. The federal government uses this structure through its GSA contracts.
Third-party aggregator	When one organization brings together multiple organizations to represent their requirements and manage the resulting contract. Contract users will not realize the benefit and leverage of the full volume use of the contract. The supplier may only offer a minimal discount when participation and usage exceed original contract estimates. An example of a third-party aggregator situation is where a cooperative procurement is spearheaded by a group (i.e., U.S. Communities, etc.) that is not a governmental entity but it gathers interest and commitments from others and then turns around and buys for the whole group. Some "third party aggregators" may not be non-profit entities and their fee structure may be for profit.

#### **20.1.6 Before issuing the cooperative solicitation**

It is important to research VITA statewide contracts [Contract Search](#) to ensure that no current contracts exist to satisfy your agency's or institution's technology needs. You may contact [scm@vita.virginia.gov](mailto:scm@vita.virginia.gov) with any questions or to request a meeting with a VITA sourcing specialist to discuss your IT needs and plans or to obtain advice.

These important actions should be completed before issuing a cooperative IT solicitation:

- Designate a lead agency or institution to conduct the procurement, with qualified procurement and technical staff and commitment to perform the cooperative procurement.
- Require cooperative members to sign an agreement that includes the policies and procedures under which the cooperative will work.
- Invite cooperative members, including technical specialists, to participate in the development of specifications, reporting needs and contract terms and conditions.
- Provide for delivery, service, maintenance and other value-added services to be provided by designated local suppliers and small business suppliers.
- Utilize a competitive solicitation process to obtain the best-value contract.

- Survey cooperative members and research their history on buying patterns and estimated requirements.
- Circulate draft solicitations among cooperative members and prospective IT suppliers for comments and suggestions.

#### **20.1.7 Sourcing and issuing the solicitation**

In order to maximize efforts intended to increase supplier responsiveness, take these actions when issuing the cooperative solicitation:

- Use supplier sourcing lists from all prospective cooperative purchasing members.
- Advertise the procurement in all participating localities, regions or states in accordance with their prevailing laws or regulations.
- Designate and provide contact information for a single point of contact for supplier inquiries and communication.

#### **20.1.8 Evaluating and negotiating offers**

Proposal evaluations and negotiations should be fair and objective using the following guidelines:

- Invite participating cooperative members to participate in technical evaluations.
- Negotiate terms and conditions that conform to legal requirements of each participating jurisdiction.
- Carefully evaluate the proposed supplier's ability to service all public bodies involved in the cooperative procurement in a quality manner.
- Contracts are based on free and open competition, not sole source; however, sometimes a single award is the best option.

#### **20.1.9 Contract award and administration**

Once a decision has been made to award a cooperative IT contract, the lead agency or institution should do the following:

- Notify participating members of the award and provide electronic copies of the entire contract.
- Provide written guidelines for contract administration and contract management.
- Maintain a list of all authorized contract users.
- Contractual disputes relating to a particular purchase order should be handled by the affected cooperative member and those relating to the contract as a whole by the lead governmental entity.
- Establish a supplier performance reporting system for all members to report and monitor supplier performance on a regular basis. Many public bodies have additional reporting requirements (i.e., small business reporting) identified in the contract.
- Require the supplier to provide periodic contract sales reports. The lead contracting agency or institution should obtain a volume purchase report for the term of the contract from the supplier prior to contract expiration or renewal action. This data can be used to support estimated usage for the next solicitation or when evaluating contractor requested price adjustments.
- Invite participating members to comment on proposed contract extensions, renewals and amendments.
- Negotiate deeper discounts if actual purchases exceed estimates.
- Provide plenty of time for replacement contracts.

#### **20.1.10 Documentation for the procurement file**



All solicitation, negotiation and award documentation should be included in the master procurement file, including any supplier-certified representations. A complete procurement file should be maintained for each purchase transaction and should contain all the information necessary to understand the why, who, what, when, where and how of the transaction, including the contract from which the good or service is being procured.

## **20.2 Purchases from federal GSA Purchasing Schedule 70 (technology)**

### **20.2.1 Background and description**

In 2003, Congress opened GSA Schedule 70 (Information Technology and Telecommunications Hardware, Software and Professional Services) for state and local government use. GSA Schedule 70 is a catalog of supplier contracts used by federal agencies when they need to purchase information technology products. GSA Schedule 70 suppliers are selected through an open and continuous qualification process instead of competitive bids or proposals. GSA users seek competition from GSA contractors at the point of sale by obtaining quotes. GSA requires most favored customer pricing, which provides state and local governments with a price advantage based on federal purchasing economies of scale. GSA contracts are based on price ceilings and contractors will often offer further discounts for larger aggregated buys. GSA encourages state and local governments to establish separate contract arrangements with the GSA supplier. Each Schedule 70 contract price includes an industrial funding fee (IFF), which is represented in the prices paid by ordering activities and passed on to GSA by schedule contractors. The IFF reimburses GSA for procurement and administrative costs incurred to operate the GSA Schedules Program.

Only suppliers with a COOP/PURCH logo next to their names on the GSA Schedule 70 have agreed to extend their pricing to state and local governments.

Multiple award schedules (MASs) under GSA Schedule 70 can meet a vast majority of an agency's or institution's IT needs. For large or complex requirements, MAS suppliers can join with other schedule contract holders and submit a total solution under a team arrangement. A GSA schedule contractor team arrangement (CTA) is an arrangement between two or more [GSA Schedule](#) suppliers to work together to meet a customer's requirements. If two or more GSA suppliers have teamed up to provide an IT solution, they will enter into a written agreement (CTA agreement) detailing the responsibilities of each supplier. The CTA allows the GSA suppliers to meet the customer's needs by providing a total solution that combines the supplies and/or services from the team suppliers' separate GSA schedule contracts. It permits them to complement each other's capabilities to compete for orders for which they may not independently qualify. A customer benefits from a CTA by buying a solution rather than making separate buys from various suppliers. A CTA relationship is different from a prime contractor-subcontractor relationship. In prime-sub arrangements, the relationship is very tightly defined and controlled by the prime contractor; whereas in CTAs, the roles and responsibilities are defined by the team, as accepted by the purchasing body.

GSA suppliers are allowed to modify their contracts at any time during the contract period, allowing the addition of new IT items regularly. This assures the latest technology is always available to the customer. Incidental items not listed in the GSA contract may be added to a schedule delivery order as long as it results in the lowest overall cost, the appropriate procurement regulations have been applied, and the price has been determined fair and reasonable.

### **20.2.2 Benefits of purchasing from GSA Schedule 70**

Purchasing IT or telecommunications goods or services from a GSA contract may lessen a procuring agency's administrative burden, shorten procurement lead time and may, in some cases, offer lower pricing than an agency or institution could obtain from its own procurement. Refer to subsection 20.1.2 of this chapter for a broader discussion on cooperative procurement benefits.

### **20.2.3 Challenges of purchasing from GSA Schedule 70**

Since GSA is based on maximum pricing, many state and local contracts reflect lower pricing than the federal prices. Also, although GSA allows for agencies and institutions to view products online, they cannot place orders online since they do not have federal procurement cards.

### **20.2.4 Contractual terms and conditions**

Agencies and institutions will usually find it necessary to modify GSA contract terms to meet state statutory requirements. When an agency purchases from a GSA Schedule 70, the terms and conditions of the underlying GSA contract are incorporated by reference in the state's contract with the GSA supplier. Agencies may add terms and conditions to the GSA contracts if they are required by statute, regulation, etc., to the extent that they do not conflict with GSA Schedule 70 terms and conditions; however, if a required state term and condition conflicts with a GSA term, then an agency cannot purchase from that GSA supplier.

### **20.2.5 Voluntary use of schedules by suppliers**

Schedule 70 suppliers have a five-day period in which to decline or accept an agency's purchase order and will generally make this decision on two levels. First, on the contract level, they will decide which IT items they want to offer under the GSA cooperative contract and will enter into a mutual agreement with GSA to modify the contract and reflect their contract item list. Second, even after an existing contract is modified or a new contract awarded, a Schedule 70 supplier will retain the right to decline orders received from state or local government entities on a case-by-case basis. Schedule 70 suppliers may decline an order, for any reason, within a five-day period after receipt of the order; however, credit card orders must be declined within 24 hours.

### **20.2.6 GSA supplier performance**

If the supplier does not perform acceptably under a GSA Schedule 70 purchase order issued by a state or local entity, GSA will not take corrective measures against that supplier. Acceptance of an order by the Schedule 70 supplier constitutes the formation of a new contract between the agency and the Schedule 70 supplier. The ordering agency's contracting officer is responsible for all contract administration under the new contract. While the majority of the terms and conditions of the supplier's Schedule 70 contract are incorporated by reference into the ordering agency's purchase order, the federal government is not liable for the supplier's performance or non-performance. Disputes that cannot be resolved by the parties may be litigated in any state or federal court with jurisdiction, using the principles of federal procurement law and the Uniform Commercial Code, as applicable and appropriate. State and local government entities may submit information concerning a supplier's performance to the GSA contracting officer for consideration when evaluating the supplier's overall performance under the GSA Schedule 70 contract.

### **20.2.7 Ordering from GSA Schedule 70**

Prior to initiating a GSA Schedule 70 order, ensure there are no existing VITA statewide contracts available for that good or service ([Contract Search](#)). All orders from GSA Schedule 70 suppliers shall use the procedures in Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) [8.405-2](#) when



ordering Schedule 70 contract services priced at hourly rates. The applicable services will be identified in Schedule 70 publications and contractors' Schedule 70 price lists. When ordering Schedule 70 contract supplies and fixed-price services for a specific task, where a Statement of Work is not required (e.g., installation, maintenance, and repair), ordering activities shall use the procedures in FAR [8.405-1](#), Ordering Procedures for Supplies, and Services Not Requiring a Statement of Work (SOW).

#### **20.2.8 When ordering IT goods utilizing GSA Schedule 70**

All orders for GSA Schedule 70 purchases shall use eVA ([eVA Home Page](#)) and state the GSA number in the contract number field. The eVA order will be routed for CIO review. To ensure a best value determination is made, the agency or institution should survey at least three Schedule contractors through the online shopping service [GSA Advantage! ®](#) or review the catalogs or price lists of at least three Schedule contractors, and seek additional price reductions where appropriate. Ensure the following actions are completed:

- Based upon the initial evaluation, seek price reductions from the Schedule suppliers considered to offer the best value.
- Select the best value; and
- Submit the eVA order.

#### **20.2.9 Procurement file documentation**

The procurement file for a GSA order should include:

- The Schedule contracts considered, noting the contractor from which the service was purchased;
- A copy of the CIO approval;
- A description of the service purchased;
- The amount paid;
- The evaluation methodology used in selecting the GSA supplier to receive the order;
- The rationale for any tradeoffs in making the selection;
- The best value determination.

## **Appendix A**

### **Cooperative Procurement Quick Facts**

#### **Cooperative procurement approvals required**

- CIO must approve all cooperative procurement arrangements and all procurements from cooperatively procured contracts regardless of the amount of the IT purchase.
- Public body can sponsor, conduct or administer a cooperative procurement arrangement on behalf of other public bodies, agencies, institutions, or localities of several states for combining requirements to increase efficiency and reduce administrative expenses if approved by the CIO.
- A public body may purchase from another public body's contract even if it did not participate in the RFP or IFB, if the RFP or IFB specified that the procurement was being conducted on behalf of other public bodies and the procurement is approved by the CIO.
- Any authority, department, agency or institution may participate in, sponsor conduct or administer a cooperative procurement arrangement with public bodies, private health or educational institutions or with public agencies or institutions of the several states, territories of the U.S. or D.C. for the purposes of combining requirements to effect cost savings or reduce administrative expense in any acquisition of IT goods and services upon CIO approval.

#### **Sponsoring a cooperative procurement**

- Agencies and institutions must request CIO approval to sponsor, conduct or administer a cooperative procurement arrangement regardless of the amount of the resulting contract. In order to obtain CIO approval, agencies and institutions should forward a completed [IT Cooperative Procurement Approval Request Form](#) to [scminfo@vita.virginia.gov](mailto:scminfo@vita.virginia.gov).
- If a cooperative procurement arrangement is approved, the agency or institution must specify in the solicitation that the procurement is being conducted on behalf of other public bodies. The inclusion of this language allows additional agencies and institutions not named in the solicitation to purchase from the resulting contract.
- An agency or institution that sponsors a cooperative procurement must serve as the contract manager and administrator for the contract.

#### **Using a cooperatively procured contract**

- Agencies and institutions desiring to purchase IT and telecommunications goods and services from cooperatively procured contracts must request CIO approval before doing so regardless of the amount of the purchase. In order to obtain CIO approval, agencies and institutions should forward a completed [IT Cooperative Procurement Approval Request Form](#) to [scminfo@vita.virginia.gov](mailto:scminfo@vita.virginia.gov).
- Agencies and institutions are not permitted to procure IT and telecommunications goods and services from a cooperatively procured contract if the same items are available on an existing statewide contract or a DMBE-certified small business is available to provide the goods or services at fair and reasonable prices.
- Cooperatively procured contracts typically should not be used for contracts involving intellectual property rights (e.g., software purchases or custom systems development) or contracts that include service level agreements.

## **Appendix B**

### **Steps for Ordering IT Services Utilizing GSA Schedule 70**

When ordering IT services from GSA Schedule 70, contact VITA's SCM Division at [scminfo.vita.virginia.gov](mailto:scminfo.vita.virginia.gov) prior to completing the following:

#### **1. Prepare a request for quotation (RFQ) that includes:**

- **Statement of work:** Include detail on the work to be performed, the location of the work, the period of performance, the deliverable schedule, the applicable performance standards and any special requirements (e.g., security clearances, reports, travel, and special knowledge).
- **Evaluation criteria:** At a minimum, include evaluation of a project plan for performing the task, price, experience and past performance.
- **Pricing:** A firm-fixed price order shall be requested, unless the ordering agency makes a determination that it is not possible to estimate accurately or with any reasonable degree of confidence the extent or duration of the work or costs. When such a determination is made, a labor-hour or time-and-materials quotation may be requested. The firm-fixed price of the order should also include any travel costs or other direct charges related to performance of the services ordered. A ceiling price must be established for labor-hour and time-and-materials orders.
- **Special pricing:** A requirement for pricing information that ties the offered prices to the Schedule 70 contract prices and seeks additional price reductions where appropriate.

#### **2. Transmit the RFQ to GSA Schedule 70 contractors**

- **Obtain three quotes:** Provide the RFQ (including the statement of work and evaluation criteria) to at least three Schedule 70 suppliers that offer the IT services requested and to any other Schedule 70 suppliers that request copies.

**Note:** Each Schedule 70 contract has a maximum order threshold, which will vary by special item number. The maximum order threshold represents the point where, given the dollar value of the potential order, the ordering activity shall seek a [price reduction](#).

#### **3. Evaluate responses and place the order or establish the blanket purchase agreement**

- **Conduct evaluations:** Evaluate all responses received using the evaluation criteria in the RFQ. GSA has already determined that the hourly rates for services contained in the supplier's Schedule 70 price list are fair and reasonable. However, the customer is responsible for considering the level of effort and the mix of labor proposed to perform a specific task being ordered, and for determining that the total firm-fixed price or ceiling price is fair and reasonable.
- **Make award:** Place the purchase order with the Schedule 70 supplier that represents the IT best value.

**Appendix C**  
**IT Cooperative Procurement Approval Request Form**

**Route this completed form to SCM at [scminfo@vita.virginia.gov](mailto:scminfo@vita.virginia.gov)**

Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Agency/Institution Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Contact Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone Number \_\_\_\_\_  
Description of Product or Non-Professional Service \_\_\_\_\_  
Estimated Total Cost of Procurement \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
Supplier Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Contract Source and Number \_\_\_\_\_

**JUSTIFICATION:**

1. Why is this cooperative procurement agreement the best method for your requested procurement?

2. Can this product or service be purchased using an existing statewide contract?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, identify the statewide contract(s) \_\_\_\_\_

3. Can this product or service be purchased from a certified small business?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, identify the small business \_\_\_\_\_

4. Is the requested supplier registered in eVA?

☐ Yes ☐ No

**CERTIFICATION:**

To the best of my knowledge, information and belief, the technology product or service sought by the agency meets all of the criteria for cooperative procurements established in §2.2-4304 of Title 2.2 of the Code of Virginia.

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Signed \_\_\_\_\_

Agency Head (for non-infrastructure goods/services)

Local Area Coordinator/Regional Service Director (for infrastructure goods/services)

**APPROVAL:**

☐ APPROVE ☐ DENY

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Signed \_\_\_\_\_

CIO of Virginia